INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR INSPECTION REPORT

Jabalpur regional office

Mine file No : MP/KATNI/BX-41 Mine code : 07MPR47008

(i) Name of the Inspecting : \$021) GIRISH SHRIVASTAVA

Officer and ID No.

(ii) Designation: Assistant Mining Geologis(iii) Accompaning mine: Shri P .C. Sharma, Lessee

Official with Designation

(iv) Date of Inspection : 23/06/2016
(v) Prev.inspection date : 17/01/2013

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

. (a) Mine Name : AMOCH (16.187 H)

(b) Registration NO. :

(c) Category : B Manual
(d) Type of Working : Opencast

(e) Postal address

3.

State : MADHYA PRADESH

District : KATNI Village : Amoch

Taluka : Bahoriband

Post office :

 Pin Code
 : 483504

 FAX No.
 : N. A.

 E-mail
 : N. A.

 Phone
 : N. A.

(f) Police Station :

(g) First opening date : 28/02/1986

(h) Weekly day of rest : SUN

2. Address for : DR. PRAMOD CHAND SHARMA correspondance 9, HOUSING BOARD COLONY,

WATER (M.D.) 402E01

KATNI (M.P.) 483501

(a) Lease Number : MPR0815
(b) Lease area : 16.19
(c) Period of lease : 20

(d) Date of Expiry : 06/10/2002

4. Mineral worked : BAUXITE Main

PAGE: 2

5. Name and Address of the

Lessee : PRAMOD CHAND SHARMA

9, HOUSING BOARD COLONY, KATNI MADHYA PRADESH

Phone:N. A. FAX :N. A.

Owner : PRAMOD CHAND SHARMA

9, HOUSING BOARD COLONY, KATNI MADHYA PRADESH

Phone: N. A. FAX : N. A.

6. Date of approval of Mining : Existing rule 11 MCDR1988 27/07/2009 Plan/Scheme of Mining Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 30/07/2015

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

| Sl.No. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|--|---|
| 1a | Backlog of previous year | 10 borholes were proposed during 15-16 | None | Voilation of 13(1) of MCDR ,88 was issued |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2 | About 4.5 ha area situated towards southern side has been categorised under G-2 based on the existing pit of 190mx145-45m x2- 20 m size | Since the recovery of bauxite varies between 10 to 20 %, and size of existing pit is 190mx 165m x2- 20 m therefore based on such data ,the categorization of 4.5 had area under G-2 seems to be correct. The remaining northern part falling under lateritic zone which is still to be proved for bauxite mineralisation. | |
| 1c | Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year | None | None | |
| 1d | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2 | 10 boreholes during 15-16 | No boreholes were given during 15-16 | |
| 1e | Balance reserve as on 01/04/20 | The Balance reserves as on 01/04/16 - 126333 tonnes . | 127891 tonnes as on 01/04/2016 . If the production for remaining 01 year of proposals achieved , the balance reserves as on 01/04/17 will be 113989 tonnes . | ı |
| 1f | General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc | None | The deposit having very low recovery of bauxite i.e. 10 to 20 % and in such deposit the intersection of pockets of bauxite in boreholes / incidence of mineralisation or success rate of exploration by borehole may not be easy task. Therefore, drilling and logging, collction of sludge, etc need to be done very carefully. | |

Development :

Sl.No. Item Propasals Actual work Remarks

| 2a | Location of development w.r.t.lease area | | The working has been done at the proposed site . |
|----|--|---|--|
| 2b | Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15) | One bench in ob of 2m height and two bench in mineral of 3m height. | Separate bench in OB and mineral has been developed . |
| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio | 1:5.42 | 1:5.6 |
| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m3 | None | None, There is no top soil, The OB is consist of lateritic soil. |
| 2e | Quantity of overburden generation in m3 | 7276 cu m during 15-16 | 5500 cu m |
| 2f | General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc | One Ob bench and two mineral bench | One OB bench of 2m height and two mineral bench of 3 m height has been developed. The height of old faces varies between 5-6 m. Since the recovery of mineral is 10 to 20 % and waste handling is on heigher side, the regular faces has not been developed in all around the pit. |

Exploitation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|----------------|---|---------|
| 3a | Number of pit proposed for production | One | One | |
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed | m during 15-16 | ROM - 25000 cu m during 15-16 , production of bauxite 9200 tonnes . | |

| 3c | Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production | 10758 tonnes during 15-16 | 9200 tonnes during 15-16 |
|----|--|---|---|
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | None | None |
| 3e | Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared. | None | There is no reject genration , the required grade achieved through blending . |
| 3f | Quantity of sub grade mineral generation. | None | No sub grade generated . |
| 3g | Grade of sub grade mineral generation | None | None |
| 3h | Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM | Manual | The mineral segegation has been done manually/sreenining . |
| 3i | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects. | None | None |
| 3j | Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches | None | None |
| 3k | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches | There is no proposal of mining machineries in mineral benches . | None |
| 31 | Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM | The Ob bench of 2m height and mineral benches of 3m height were prposed during 15-16. | method of mining by hand |
| 3m | Total area covered under excavation/pits | 2.55 ha at the end of proposal of 15-16 | 2.5 ha et end of 15-16 . |
| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year. | 1:5.42 | 1: 56 |

| 30 | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year | Pit -2.55 ha , dumps - 4.32 ha ,mineral storage -4.27 ha | |
|----|--|--|---|
| 3p | Production of ROM mineral during the last five year period as applicable | | 15-16 - 9200 tonnes , during 12-13 to 14 -15 - Nil production . |
| 3q | General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining etc. | Manual method of mining | It is 'B' manual mine and manual mining with the help of hand tools has been proposed . No blasting has been done . Thus the development of 2m height of OB bench and 3m height of mineral bench manually with the help of hand tools is suitable method of mining in such deposit . Manual mining as proposed is also suitable considering the poor recovery of bauxite i.e 10 to 20 % . |

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|-----------------------|--|---------|
| 4a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33) | dump of 100mx40m x 5m | - | |
| 4b | | top soil, | The waste dump of $100m \times 70 m \times 3.5 m$ was observed at NE barrier . | |
| 4c | Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area | | 04 waste dumps were observed within ML area | |

| 4d | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | has been proposed out side of | The waste dump has been kept at NE barrier which is falling outside of influence zone of bauxite as marked on the Surface geological Plan . |
|------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| 4e | Number of active and alive dumps. | One dump | One dump situated at NE barrier . |
| 4f | Number of dead dumps. | 03 dumps | 03 old dumps |
| 4 g | Number of dumps established. | None | none |
| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there. | None | None |
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps | None | None |
| 4j | Number of settling ponds | None | None |
| 4k | Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management | - | The waste dump of 100m x70 m x3.5 m was observed at the proposed site but some old small waste dumps are scattered in the nothern part of ML area .The retainining wall /grarland drain need to proposed in next document which is due in Dec.16 |

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---|-------------|---------|
| 5a | Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling. | There is no mined out area at present . | None | |
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | None | None | |

| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32) | None | None |
|----|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated | None | None |
| 5e | General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc. | No matured area for reclamation . | There is no matured area for reclamation/bacfilling |

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|-----------|-------------|---------|
| ба | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2). | None | None | |
| 6b | Area available for rehabilitation (ha) . | None | None | |
| 6c | afforestation done (ha). | None | None | |
| 6d | No. of saplings planted during the year | None | None | |
| бе | Cumulative no .of plants | none | None | |
| 6f | Any other method of rehabilitation | None | None | |
| 6g | Cost incurred on watch and care during the year | None | None | |
| 6h | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D | None | None | |

| 6i | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings | None | None |
|----|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| 6j | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled area | None | None |
| 6k | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir | None | None |
| 61 | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means. | None | None |
| 6m | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation | None | None |
| 6n | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha) | None | None |
| 60 | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation | None | None |
| 6p | Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone) | Monitoring station in core zone proposed | No monitroing station were observed . |

6q General remarks None of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc.

Since mineral is persisting in depth . no matured area is available for reclamation /rehabilitation

Mineral Conservation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|--------------------------------------|---|---------|
| 7a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area | No proposal for grade-wise sorting . | The mineral sorting has been done manully and required grade has been achieved through blending . As such complete production is saleable . | |
| 7b | Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical. | Manual | Manual sorting of mineral has been caried out . | |
| 7с | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines. | None | The grade of mineral is cement grade and gradewise sorting has not been done . however Low grade blended with heigh grade. | |
| 7d | Any beneficiation process at mines . | None | Only screnining has been done. no other beneficiation has been done . | |
| 7e | General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues | l is saleable . No sub grade | There is no problem in respect of conservation of mineral . Low grade belended with heigh grade and supplied to cement plant . | |

Environment:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|-----------|-------------|---------|
| 8a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32) | | None | |

| 8b | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | None | None |
|--------|---|---|---|
| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | The separate OB/ waste dump has been proposed . No generation of reject and fines is proposed | The separate waste dump of 100mx70m x3.5 m was observed at NE barrier . No dump of reject /fines were observed . |
| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | None | No matured area is available for restoration . |
| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | None | No matured area is available for restoration . |
| 8f | Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41) | None | None |
| 8g | Survival rate | None | None |
| 8h | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust | None | None |
| 8i | General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area | None | In order to increase the aesthetic beauty , the waste mangement in Northern part and afforestation has to be proposed in next document which is due in DEc . 16 . |

Compliance of Rule 45:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|------|-----------|-------------|---------|
| | | | | |

| 9a | Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns | AR for 15-16 was not submitted . | The Monthly returns were submitted upto may 16 but AR for 15-16 was not submitted | Voilation and SCN for 45(5) (b) of MCDR ,88 was issued on the basis of previous inspection . Further action is under progress. |
|----|---|--|---|--|
| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager | AR for 15-16 was not submitted . | None | |
| 9c | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. | | None | |
| 9d | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation | AR for 15-16 was not submitted . | None | |
| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity) | AR for 15-16 was not submitted . | None | |
| 9f | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore | | None | |
| 9g | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost | | None | |
| 9h | Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets | AR for 15-16 was not submitted . | None | |
| 9k | Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries | AR for 15-16 was not submitted . | None | |

PAGE: 13

Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out

| Viola | ation observed | Show couse position | |
|------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Rule NO. | Issued on Compliance on | Rule NO. | Issued on Compliance on |
| Rule 13(1) | 18/07/2016 | | |

Date :

(GIRISH SHRIVASTAVA)

Indian Bureau of Mines